

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/629,618	KABASAWA, MITSUAKI
	Examiner Bernard E Souw	Art Unit 2881

All Participants:

(1) Bernard E Souw. (3) _____.
 (2) Hans Cosby, Reg. No. 44,634. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2003

Time: 14:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner asked, whether or not the Attorney has submitted a response to the Ex-Parte Quayle mailed 05/07/2003 with a reply period set for 2 months. The Attorney replied, he already did, mailed on June 30, 2003, and a receipt was also obtained. Since the reply period has been long overdue, the Examiner asked the Attorney to fax the Response with Amendment, plus a copy of the receipt, directly to Examiner's fax no. at 703 746 8594, and another one to the Examiner's SPE, John R. Lee...



JOHN R. LEE
COMPTON PATENT EXAMINER
TELELOGY CENTER 2000